

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/636,167	08/07/2003	Silvio Lupo	36020364 US02	3595	
57299 Kathy Manke	7590 10/17/2007	7	EXAMINER		
Avago Technol 4380 Ziegler Ro		NGUYEN, PHILLIP			
Fort Collins, CO 80525			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2828		
•			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		•	10/17/2007	ELECTRONIC	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

avagoip@system.foundationip.com kathy.manke@avagotech.com scott.weitzel@avagotech.com

		Application	Vo.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/636,167		LUPO, SILVIO				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Phillip Nguye	n	2828				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM T  - Extensions of time may be available under the product of the state of the s	HE MAILING DA visions of 37 CFR 1.13 s communication. num statutory period w or reply will, by statute, onths after the mailing	ATE OF THIS 36(a). In no event, vill apply and will ex cause the applicat	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be tim pire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status								
1) Responsive to communication	s) filed on <u>09 Ju</u>	<u>ıly 2007</u> .						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	,—							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the p	practice under <i>E.</i>	x parte Quay	e, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.	•			
Disposition of Claims								
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s)  5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>9 and 10</u> is/are allowe 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3 and 5-7</u> is/are rejection of the company of the company of the company of the company of the claim(s) <u>2,4 and 8</u> is/are object to respect to the company of the claim(s) are subject to respect to the company of the claim(s) are subject to respect to the claim(s)	is/are withdraw d. cted. ted to.	vn from consi						
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to 10) The drawing(s) filed onis Applicant may not request that any Replacement drawing sheet(s) inc 11) The oath or declaration is object	s/are: a) acce or objection to the colluding the correcti	epted or b) drawing(s) be h ion is required	neld in abeyance. See if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					•			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4)	Interview Summary					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	5) 6)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other:		·			

Application/Control Number: 10/636,167 Page 2

Art Unit: 2828

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 7/9/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that McMinn teaches the laser sources (laser diode bars 36) are placed within grooves 34 that are cut into the top of a (separate) substrate 32. Thus McMinn does not disclose a laser source mounted on the same general plane of extension as the auxiliary electrical component. Examiner respectfully disagrees with this because the claim fails to specify the laser source as a single laser diode. Therefore, the whole laser array 30 is considered as a laser source which apparently is mounted on the same general plane of extension of the submount.

Applicant further argues that "McMinn fails to discloses an auxiliary electrical component that is mounted so that "longest dimension ... is at least substantially orthogonal to said general plane of said submount." Fig. 3C clearly shows that the longest dimension of the auxiliary electrical component 149 is substantially orthogonal to the general plane of the submount. There is no point to bring up the discussion on this issue.

The rejection is repeated as follows:

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2828

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3, 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.(3. 102(b) as being anticipated by

McMinn et al (US 5,734,672).

Regarding claim 1, Figure 3(3 illustrates a laser source arrangement including a laser source (30), an auxiliary electrical component (149), as illustrated the laser source and auxiliary electrical component are mounted onto a general plane submount (148), where the longest dimension of auxiliary electrical component (149) is orthogonal to the general plane of the submount.

Regarding claim 3, Figure 3C illustrates the laser source having a lasing direction and illustrates the auxiliary electrical component displaced laterally.

Regarding claim 7, Figure 3A illustrates the laser source arrangement also including a PCB, which will inherent provide an electrically conductive area or pad for the laser source (30) and the auxiliary electrical component (149).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/636,167 Page 4

Art Unit: 2828

3. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as being unpatentable over McMinn et al (US 5,734,672). McMinn et al discloses the claimed invention except for said at least one

auxiliary electrical component being mounted onto said submount by means of conductive glue.

However, it is notoriously well known to attach lasers and electrical components to the PCB by

use of conductive glue or adhesive such as solder, as implied by McMinn et al in column 5 lines

1-5.

4. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McMinn

et al (US 5,734,672) in view of Lee et al. (US Patent No. 5854867). McMinn et al discloses the

claimed invention except for the auxiliary electrical component being in a form of an SMD

component. Lee discloses in Fig. 1B a mounting arrangement with a laser source 22, a submount

6, and an auxiliary component 32 associated with the laser source. It would have been obvious to

the one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the

electrical auxiliary component being in a form of SMD as taught by Lee in order to replace the

component easily in case it fails to work in the future.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 2, 4 and 8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base

claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 9-10 are allowed.

### Communication Information

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phillip Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-1947. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM - 6:00 PM, Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MINSUN HARVEY, can be reached on 571-272-1835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **571-273-8300**.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Phillip Nguyen/

AU 2828

